IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1311 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

GUJARAT VIDYAPITH

Versus

GIRISHKUMAR M BHATT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 1311 of 2002

MR MUKUND M DESAI for Petitioner No. 1

..... for Respondents No. 1-4

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

Date of decision: 29/01/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned Advocate Shri M.M. Desai seeks leave to delete respondents Nos. 3 and 4. Permission for deletion of respondents Nos. 3 and 4 is granted.

Rule. Service of rule is waived by learned advocate Ms. D.T. Shah for respondent No. 1 and learned AGP Shri K.L. Pandya for respondent No. 2.

Learned advocate Shri Desai appearing for the petitioner has submitted that Application No. 27/99 is pending before the Hon'ble Gujarat University Services Tribunal at Ahmedabad. This Court had given a direction to the said Tribunal to dispose of the said application within three months from 28th June 1999. Unfortunately, the said application has not been heard till today and, therefore, it has been submitted by the learned advocate that the Tribunal should be asked to dispose of the said application as soon as possible and preferably within a period of three months from today.

Learned advocate Ms. D.T. Shah appearing for respondent No. 1 and learned AGP Shri K.L. Pandya appearing for respondent No. 2 have also submitted that they would have no objection if the application pending before the Tribunal is disposed of at an early date.

The learned advocates have assured this court that their respective clients shall not pray for time unnecessarily before the Tribunal and they shall extend their co-operation to the Tribunal so that the application pending before the tribunal can be disposed of at an early date.

Looking to the above facts and in view of the fact that the application pending before the Tribunal is pending since long, it is hoped that the Tribunal shall make an effort to dispose of the said application as soon as possible and preferably before 30th June 2002.

In view of above direction, the petition stands disposed of. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs.

Direct service is permitted.

(A.R. Dave, J.)

(hn)